UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,355	07/25/2002	Jean-Claude Basset	SCP061792	1390
Joseph S Tripol	7590 06/30/200 i	EXAMINER		
Patent Operations Thomson Multimedia Licensing Inc P O Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			SCHNURR, JOHN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/980,355	BASSET, JEAN-CLAUDE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOHN R. SCHNURR	2623
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 /</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 09/980,355 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment After Non-Final Rejection filed 04/04/2008. Claims 1-19 are pending and have been examined.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 04/04/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-19 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-10, 12, 13 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Killian (US Patent 6,163,316) in view of Alexander et al. (US Patent 6,177,931), herein Alexander, and further in view of Zigmond et al. (US Patent 6,571,392), herein Zigmond.

Consider **claim 1**, Killian clearly teaches digital-television receiver/decoder device of the type comprising:

an input interface suitable for receiving digital-television signals originating from a predetermined broadcast network and for delivering a digital stream of television signals; (column 3 lines 50-58 and column 4 lines 20-23)

Art Unit: 2623

a demultiplexer/extractor module suitable for extracting, from the digital stream, digital sequences relating to a chosen television program; (Fig. 1: Tuner/decoder 24 receives the broadcast signal and outputs an audio/video signal to television 40, column 4 lines 20-38, therefore the system must have a demultiplexer.)

Page 3

a decoder module suitable for converting the digital sequences thus extracted into television signals compatible with a visual-display module; (Fig. 1: Tuner/decoder 24, column 4 lines 20-38)

a module for recording and playing digital sequences of digital-television programs; (Fig. 1: Recorder 20)

a processing module suitable for receiving, from a predetermined software application received from another medium, (Fig. 3: EPG 70 is obtained form the Internet over link 14, column 8 lines 36-56.) initialization and marking information relating at least to the start and to the end of a chosen television program, as well as to the reception/extraction of the digital sequences relating to said television program, for causing the recording of the digital sequences relating to said chosen television program as well as the initialization and marking information, in the record/replay module; (EPG 70 contains information related to the airing of the program to be recorded, including start and end times, and instructs the recorder 20 to record the program, column 17 line 43 to column 18 line 2.)

However, Killian does not explicitly teach comparing said initialization and marking information with the television digital stream originating from the demultiplexer/extractor module, said processing module being suitable, moreover, in response to a positive comparison, for causing the recording of the digital sequences relating to said chosen television program in the record/replay module.

In an analogous art, Alexander, which discloses a system for recording broadcast content, clearly teaches comparing said initialization and marking information with the television digital stream originating from the demultiplexer/extractor module, said processing module being suitable, moreover, in response to a positive comparison, for causing the recording of the digital sequences relating to said chosen television program in the record/replay module. (column 11 lines 9-28; column 11 line 64 to column 12 line 9; column 12 lines 30-43)

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Killian by comparing the actual broadcast data with the initialization and marking information to determine

Art Unit: 2623

when to begin and end recording, as taught by Alexander, for the benefit of preventing the wrong program from being recorded (column 11 lines 9-28 Alexander).

However, Killian combined with Alexander does not explicitly teach an execution module suitable, at the request of a user, for launching the playing of the digital sequences relating to said television program thus recorded, in synchronism with the initialization and marking information, wherein said execution module further comprises a supplementary processing module able to run the predetermined software application further containing said initialization and marking information, the software application being run in synchronism and in interactive mode with the playing of the digital-television program thus recorded with the aid of said initialization and marking information.

In an analogous art, Zigmond, which discloses a system for recording broadcast content, clearly teaches an execution module suitable, at the request of a user, for launching the playing of the digital sequences relating to said television program thus recorded, in synchronism with the initialization and marking information, wherein said execution module further comprises a supplementary processing module able to run the predetermined software application further containing said initialization and marking information, the software application being run in synchronism and in interactive mode with the playing of the digital-television program thus recorded with the aid of said initialization and marking information. (The system plays back recorded video and interactive data in synchronization, column 9 line 54 to column 10 line 10.)

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Killian combined with Alexander by recording interactive data along with the video and playing back the video and interactive data in synchronization, as taught by Zigmond, for the benefit of viewing time shifted interactive content (column 4 lines 17-40 Zigmond).

Consider **claim 2**, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches the supplementary processing module consists of Internet processing means, intended to provide a link according to an Internet protocol IP, suitable for cooperating with memory-storage means able to store an Internet browser serving for Internet browsing, and in that the receiver/decoder device further comprises a communications module able to communicate with a remote server according to the Internet protocol. **(column 6 line 60 to column 7 line 7 Zigmond)** 

Consider **claim 3**, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches the communications module is able to download the software application originating from the remote server. **(column 8 lines 1-6 Zigmond)** 

Page 5

Consider **claim 4**, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches a media player able to read a data medium containing the software application. **(column 7 lines 25-28 Killian)** 

Consider **claim 5**, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches means suitable for receiving the software application with the digital-television stream. **(column 5 lines 34-46 Zigmond)** 

Consider claim 6, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches the execution module is suitable for launching the playing of the digital sequences relating to the chosen television program and the running of the software application on the same visual-display module. (Fig. 2: Display 202 shows video and additional information being displayed together, column 4 line 64 to column 5 line 3 Zigmond.)

Consider **claim 7**, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches man/machine interface means, the actuation of which allows the user to interact simultaneously and in synchronism in the playing of the recorded television program and in the running of the predetermined software application. **(column 7 lines 47-54 Zigmond)** 

Consider claim 8, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches the Internet processing means are suitable for cooperating with the visual-display module as well as a man/machine interface means of the receiver/decoder device. (Fig. 2: Display 202 shows video and additional information being displayed together, column 4 line 64 to column 5 line 3 Zigmond. Man/machine interface, column 7 lines 47-54 Zigmond.)

Consider claim 9, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches the demultiplexer/extractor module is able to extract the initialization and marking information of the television program (Fig. 1 VBI decoder 28, column 4 lines 29-35 Killian) and to send it to the Internet processing means so as, at the request of the user, to allow running of the predetermined software application in local mode and/or in cooperation with the remote server, in synchronism with the playing of the recorded television program. (column 6 line 25 to column 7 line 7 Zigmond)

Consider **claim 10**, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches the Internet processing means are suitable, in cooperation with

Art Unit: 2623

the processing means of the receiver/decoder, for driving the record/replay module. (column 7 lines 8-35 Zigmond)

Consider claim 12, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches an image-composition module suitable for receiving the video images output by the decoder module as well as a graphics images output by an Internet processing means, so as to combine them according to a chosen image-composition mode. (Fig. 2: Display 202 shows video and additional information being displayed together, column 4 line 64 to column 5 line 3 Zigmond.)

Page 6

Consider **claim 13**, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches the image- composition mode is of overprint, multi-windowing, text, image-combining type. **(Fig. 2: Display 202 Zigmond)** 

Consider claim 16, see claim 1.

Consider **claim 17**, Killian clearly teaches a microprocessor executing instruction stored on a memory **(column 3 lines 7-18)** to accomplish the process of claim 1, see the rejection of claim 1.

Consider claim 18, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches the software application is capable of being run on-line with a remote server. (Killian teaches communications with a remote server, column 8 lines 36-56.)

Consider **claim 19**, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches the computer readable medium is at least one of: a data medium, program memory, and distributed by downloading. **(column 3 lines 7-18 Killian)** 

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Killian (US Patent 6,163,316) in view of Alexander et al. (US Patent 6,177,931), herein Alexander, and further in view of Zigmond et al. (US Patent 6,571,392), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ellis et al. (US Patent 6,665,869), herein Ellis.

Consider **claim 11**, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches Internet processing means.

However, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond does not explicitly teach the Internet processing means are suitable for delivering, to the record/replay Application/Control Number: 09/980,355

Art Unit: 2623

module, commands of the stop, pause, pause start, start, slow, fast forward, rewind, jump forward, jump back, type.

Page 7

In an analogous art, Ellis, which discloses a system for receiving digital video, clearly teaches a set-top box (processing means) that controls recording and other features of a program using an infrared transmitter and receiver. The commands are given through a remote control, keyboard, mouse, touch-pad and other various devices (Fig. 1: 34; Fig. 2: 30a, 30b, 30c, column 4 lines 46-51, column 4 line 66 to column 5 line 12 and column 5 lines 25-29).

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond by including a controlling device used to deliver commands to the recording device, as taught by Ellis, for the benefit of controlling a set-top box, a videocassette recorder and a television (see column 4 lines 51-53 of Ellis).

6. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Killian (US Patent 6,163,316) in view of Alexander et al. (US Patent 6,177,931), herein Alexander, and further in view of Zigmond et al. (US Patent 6,571,392), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Feinleib et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2005/0166257), herein Feinleib.

Consider **claim 14**, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches combining broadcast content and Internet content on a display.

However, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, does not explicitly teach:

a first memory suitable for containing the video images output by the decoder module;

a second memory suitable for containing the graphics information output by the Internet processing means;

a third memory suitable for containing an image-composition program;

Application/Control Number: 09/980,355

Art Unit: 2623

image-processing means suitable for extracting the chosen information from the first and second memories depending on the composition program, so as to produce the composite images;

Page 8

a module for synchronization of the visual-display module, so as to synchronize the composition of images output by the two memories.

In an analogous art, Feinleib, which discloses a system for synchronizing video content and interactive data, clearly teaches:

a first memory suitable for containing the video images output by the decoder module; (Fig. 1: Storage device 16 Pierre) a second memory suitable for containing the graphics information output by the Internet processing means; a third memory suitable for containing an image-composition program; (Fig. 2: Program memory 56 is suitable for storing graphics information and an image-composition program Feinleib.)

image-processing means suitable for extracting the chosen information from the first and second memories depending on the composition program, so as to produce the composite images; ([0013]-[0014] Feinleib)

a module for synchronization of the visual-display module, so as to synchronize the composition of images output by the two memories. **([0077]-[0088] Feinleib)** 

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond by combining video and images, as taught by Feinleib, for the benefit of providing additional information with the video stream.

Consider **claim 15**, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, clearly teaches a digital television receiver/decoder device.

However, Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond, as in claim 1, does not explicitly teach an interface of serial type and/or an interface of high-throughput link type so as to connect peripheral equipment of the printer, video camera system, audio suite or video peripheral type

In an analogous art, Feinleib, which discloses a system for synchronizing video content and interactive data, clearly teaches an interface of serial type and/or an interface of high-throughput link type so as to connect peripheral equipment of

Application/Control Number: 09/980,355 Page 9

Art Unit: 2623

the printer, video camera system, audio suite or video peripheral type (Fig. 2: Input devices 58, Display 60 and Stereo I/O 62)

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Killian combined with Alexander and Zigmond by including an interface of serial type and/or an interface of high-throughput link type so as to connect peripheral equipment of the printer, video camera system, audio suite or video peripheral type, as taught by Feinleib, for the benefit of increasing the functionality of the device.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHN R. SCHNURR whose telephone number is (571)270-1458. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,355 Page 10

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JRS

/Christopher Grant/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623